

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/790,425	DOBRINDT, DIRK	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Thomas A. Morrison	3653	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(1) Thomas A. Morrison.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Lawrence Kessler (Reg. No. 24,637).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 17 October 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*None*

**Claims discussed:**

*1, 4, 6 and 8*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*


**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Faxed a proposed amendment to Mr. Kessler (copy attached). Then, contacted Mr. Kessler (applicant's representative) on 10/17/05 to get approval for such proposed amendment to claims 1, 4, 6 and 8 via examiner's amendment. The changes to claims 1, 4, 6 and 8 were made to provide proper antecedent basis in these claims. Also, one additional change was made to claim 1 to clarify the structure that allows the grasping device and the transport to rotate at a predetermined rotational speed ratio with respect to one another. In particular, claim 1, as now amended by the examiner's amendment, recites means for driving said grasping device and said transport in a rotating manner at a predetermined rotational speed ratio with respect to one another. Mr. Kessler approved such changes during the telephone conversation on 10/17/05. The changes to claims 1, 4, 6 and 8 were discussed with a primary examiner prior to contacting Mr. Kessler on 10/17/05..

  
DONALD Z. [unclear]  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600